


TRANSMITTAL MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Delilah A. Walsh, City Manager
DATE: May 17, 2024
RE: **Alaska Clean Water Fund FY25 DRAFT Intended Use Plan – EPA & AK
Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Water**

Initials: 
File #: MGR24-340

Attached for the City Council's information is the Alaska Clean Water Fund Draft Intended Use Plan, Emerging Contaminants for fiscal year 2025 from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Water – State Revolving Fund Program.

ALASKA CLEAN WATER FUND

Draft Intended Use Plan

Emerging Contaminants

State Fiscal Year 2025

July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025

For Federal Emerging Contaminants

funds appropriated in Federal Fiscal Year 2023 and 2024



Submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

By

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

Division of Water – State Revolving Fund Program

May 2024

Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
PROGRAM GOALS	4
Long-Term Goals.....	4
Short-Term Goals	4
EMERGING CONTAMINANTS - ELIGIBLE ENTITIES AND ACTIVITIES	4
ADDITIONAL SUBSIDIZATION	5
GREEN PROJECT RESERVE.....	5
DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY CRITERIA.....	5
CRITERIA AND METHOD FOR FUND DISTRIBUTION.....	5
Project Priority List of CWSRF Projects	5
Emerging Contaminant Project Scoring Criteria	6
Amendments to the Project Priority List	6
Project Readiness Bypass Procedure	6
Emergency Procedures	7
Removing Projects from the Project Priority List	7
Amendments to Existing Loans	7
FINANCIAL STATUS	8
Sources and Uses of Funds	8
Program and Non-Program Income	8
Fund Transfer.....	9
Technical Assistance Allowance	9
Administration Allowance	9
Administrative Fee.....	9
Loan Terms and Finance Rates for Eligible Projects	10
FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	10
Disadvantaged Business Enterprise	11
Signage to Enhance Public Awareness.....	11
Architectural/Engineering Procurement	11
Single Audit	11
Fiscal Sustainability Plan.....	11
ASSURANCES AND CERTIFICATIONS	11
Expeditious and Timely Expenditure	11
Fund Accounting Separation	11
Federal Reporting	12
Federal Funding Accountability Transparency Act.....	12
PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENTS	12

Appendices

- Appendix 1. Priority Criteria for SFY25 CWSRF Emerging Contaminant Projects
- Appendix 2. Project Priority List
- Appendix 3. Disadvantaged Community Criteria

Acronyms

AAC	Alaska Administrative Code
ACWF	Alaska Clean Water Fund
ADEC	Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
ADWF	Alaska Drinking Water Fund
AIS	American Iron and Steel
AWIA	America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018
BABA	Build America, Buy America Act
BIL	Bipartisan Infrastructure Law
CBR	Clean Water Benefits Reporting
CE	Categorical Exclusion
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWSRF	Clean Water State Revolving Fund
DBE	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise
DWSRF	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FFATA	Federal Funding Accountability Transparency Act
FFY	Federal Fiscal Year
FOCUS	Financial Operations and Cash Flow Utilization System
GPR	Green Project Reserve
IUP	Intended Use Plan
MHI	Median Household Income
OASys	Online Application System
PPL	Project Priority List
SERP	State Environmental Review Process
SFY	State Fiscal Year
SRF	State Revolving Fund
WIIN	Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act of 2016

INTRODUCTION

In 1987, Congress amended the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) authorizing the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), a low interest loan program, to assist public entities with the financing of publicly owned treatment facilities (Section 212) and nonpoint source management activities (Section 319). The 1987 CWA Amendments authorized the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to award capitalization grants to states to provide seed money for the low interest loan program. While the 1987 Amendments only authorized funding for the first several years of the loan program, Congress continues to provide funding as part of its annual appropriations. In Alaska, this loan program is administered by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) State Revolving Fund (SRF) Program.

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021 (also referred to as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law or BIL) includes two new appropriations for the CWSRF, one of which is specific to Emerging Contaminants. For a project or activity to be eligible for funding under the CWSRF Emerging Contaminants grant, it must be otherwise CWSRF eligible, and the primary purpose must be to address emerging contaminants, including perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), in wastewater, stormwater, and nonpoint source pollution.

The CWSRF Emerging Contaminants appropriation is authorized for five years starting with Federal Fiscal Year 2022 (FFY22). Last year, Alaska applied for and received the FFY22 Emerging Contaminants capitalization grant which totaled \$559,000. Alaska has chosen to apply for the FFY23 and FFY24 Emerging Contaminant appropriations at this time.

This Intended Use Plan (IUP), required under the CWA, describes how Alaska proposes to use available funds for State Fiscal Year 2025 (SFY25) from July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025 provided by federal funds allocated to Alaska through the CWSRF Emerging Contaminants appropriations. Alaska's allotment from the Emerging Contaminants appropriations for FFY23 and for FFY24 is \$1,273,000 for each year.

Once prepared, the draft IUP will be posted on the SRF Program website for a period of at least 30 days to accept comments from the public. Comments on all facets of the draft IUP are accepted. After considering the comments received, the IUP will be finalized and posted on the SRF Program's website. More information about the public comment process is provided in the IUP.

PROGRAM GOALS

Long-Term Goals

1. Assist local communities as they strive to address emerging contaminants in wastewater, stormwater, groundwater and nonpoint source pollution with a focus on PFAS.

Short-Term Goals

1. Collaborate with the ADEC Division of Environmental Health's Drinking Water Program and Division of Water's Wastewater and Water Quality Programs to identify PFAS impacted communities.
2. Collaborate with other agencies to determine funding options for impacted communities.
3. Provide technical assistance to entities who request help with emerging contaminant issues.

EMERGING CONTAMINANTS - ELIGIBLE ENTITIES AND ACTIVITIES

Municipalities are eligible to apply for Emerging Contaminants funding. For a project or activity to be eligible under this appropriation, it must meet the following criteria:

- The project must be otherwise eligible under section 603(c) of the CWA, and
- The primary purpose of the project must address emerging contaminants in wastewater effluent, groundwater, or surface water.

Section 603(c) of the CWA provides the CWSRF with a broad range of project eligibilities including the construction of publicly owned treatment works (POTWs), stormwater management, and nonpoint source pollution control. Planning and design for capital projects, as well as broader water quality planning where there is a reasonable expectation that the planning will result in an eligible capital project, are eligible. Capital costs are also eligible (e.g., construction activities and equipment purchase). The CWSRF cannot fund operation and maintenance activities, including monitoring, unless the monitoring is an integral part of the planning and design for a capital project.

Emerging contaminants refer to substances and microorganisms, including manufactured or naturally occurring physical, chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear materials, which are known or anticipated in the environment, that may pose newly identified or re-emerging risks to human health, aquatic life, or the environment. These substances, microorganisms, or materials can include many different types of natural or manufactured chemicals and substances – such as those in some compounds of personal care products, pharmaceuticals, industrial chemicals, pesticides, and microplastics. A description of emerging contaminants for the purposes of CWSRF financing can be found in Appendix B of EPA's March 2022 Memorandum [Implementation of the Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Provisions of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law.](#)

Contaminants with water quality criteria established by EPA under CWA section 304(a), except for PFAS, are not considered emerging contaminants. This includes nutrients (e.g., ammonia, nitrogen, and phosphorus), certain organics, and certain metals.

ADDITIONAL SUBSIDIZATION

The FFY23 and FFY24 CWSRF Emerging Contaminants appropriations require that 100% of the capitalization grants, net of the 2% Technical Assistance and 4% Administrative allowances, be used to provide additional subsidy to CWSRF projects. All additional subsidies must be in the form of assistance agreements with 100% forgiveness of principal or grants. Alaska will use loan agreements with 100% forgiveness of principal to satisfy this requirement.

Because the State is reserving the allowances for the FFY23 and FFY24 Emerging Contaminants appropriations, the full capitalization grant amounts may be provided as additional subsidy to eligible CWSRF assistance recipients for any projects eligible under section 603(c) of the CWA that address emerging contaminants.

GREEN PROJECT RESERVE

The FFY23 and FFY24 CWSRF Emerging Contaminants appropriations requires that 10% of the capitalization grants be used to the extent possible to fund projects that include energy conservation, water conservation, and/or environmentally innovative activities. Based on allotments for FFY23 and FFY24 totaling \$2,546,000, the SRF Program will identify projects, or project components, that meet green criteria and document those amounts. The SRF Program includes points in the project scoring criteria for those proposed projects that include green criteria.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY CRITERIA

Several factors are considered in identifying disadvantaged communities including those related to the household burden associated with income and the cost of water and wastewater service, as well as socioeconomic factors including the percentage of households utilizing assistance programs, the percentage of households below the federal poverty level, unemployment rates, and long-term population trends in the community. ADEC also includes several priority project types that impact the economic viability of a water system, including the presence of emerging contaminants. These factors, considered in total, are used to determine tiers of criticality for disadvantaged status with associated levels of principal forgiveness. More information about the disadvantaged community criteria is provided in Appendix 3.

CRITERIA AND METHOD FOR FUND DISTRIBUTION

Project Priority List of CWSRF Projects

For a project to be considered for funding from the Alaska Clean Water Fund (ACWF), it must be included in the Project Priority List (PPL) of CWSRF Emerging Contaminant projects. The process is initiated when an eligible borrower completes a project questionnaire through the ADEC Online Application System (OASys).

Questionnaires are accepted year-round through OASys and are reviewed by a scoring committee on a triannual basis. The submittal deadlines for questionnaire reviews are February 29, June 30, and October 31. An email was sent to eligible borrowers in January 2024 providing

information about the schedule and inviting submittal of Emerging Contaminants project questionnaires to be considered for SFY25 funding assistance.

The project scoring committee, made up of representatives from the SRF Program, as well as the ADEC Drinking Water, Wastewater, Source Water Protection, and Nonpoint Source Programs, evaluates the project questionnaires based on the CWSRF criteria and assigns a numeric score to each project. Projects are added to the PPL in rank order.

Emerging Contaminant Project Scoring Criteria

The SRF Program scores all CWSRF eligible projects based on information supplied in the questionnaire in the following categories: public health, water quality, project readiness, asset management, funding coordination, sustainability, operator certification status, affordability of user rates, and green projects. In addition to the standard CWSRF scoring criteria, projects associated with treatment works (point source projects) that address Emerging Contaminants will also be rated according to criteria that considers the PFAS concentration in treated effluent and daily discharge volume for projects associated with treatment works. For projects that address emerging contaminants in groundwater, stormwater and/or surface water (nonpoint source projects), the concentration of PFAS will also be considered. See Appendix 1 for the scoring criteria.

Amendments to the Project Priority List

ADEC will amend the PPL to include additional projects after each triannual review and scoring of new project questionnaires. In updates to the PPL, any projects reviewed and scored will be added to the PPL in ranked order. The amended funding list will be publicly noticed for 10 days.

Project Readiness Bypass Procedure

When available funding exceeds demand, ADEC awards funding to ready-to-proceed projects without regard to project score or ranking because the Program has sufficient funds to finance all projects. This ensures timely utilization of federal funds.

In the event the SRF Program does not have sufficient funds available to offer loans to all projects that are ready to proceed, ADEC will work with potential borrowers with the highest ranked projects on the PPL to ensure that those projects are given a chance to be funded first. However, the final funding selection of projects from the PPL will be based primarily on the projects' readiness to proceed.

Projects that are ready to proceed are prepared to begin design and/or construction and are immediately ready, or poised to be ready, to execute a loan agreement with ADEC. If, for whatever reason, an applicant is not ready to proceed with completing a loan application and initiating a project, ADEC may select a lower ranking project for funding based on its ability to proceed in a timely manner. This bypass procedure is necessary to ensure that the available funds will be disbursed in a timely manner.

ADEC reserves the right to fund lower priority projects over higher priority projects if, in the opinion of ADEC, a higher priority project has not taken the steps necessary to expeditiously

prepare for funding and project initiation (e.g., ADEC has not received the required documents to execute a loan agreement, the project is not ready to proceed with construction, or the applicant withdraws the project for consideration).

In addition, a project may be bypassed, as necessary, for the State to meet federal grant requirements for equivalency and additional subsidy. In the event that two or more projects have the same ranking, preference will be given to projects with the following criteria and in this order: ready to proceed; response to a compliance or legal order with a specific deadline; and inclusion of a green component.

SRF Program staff will regularly evaluate the status of available principal forgiveness funds and the outstanding projects list on the PPL. The intent of this evaluation is to determine if the projects currently identified as receiving principal forgiveness actually are capable of applying for and entering into a loan agreement within the current program year. If during this evaluation, a project is determined to be incapable of meeting the requirements of the program, that project may be bypassed, and the corresponding principal forgiveness may be awarded to other eligible projects on the PPL. In addition to readiness-to-proceed, a project may be bypassed due to: an applicant's inability to meet all other program requirements; failure to develop an approvable, implementable project; or for other reasons applicable under state or federal law. Any projects bypassed during the program year may be reconsidered for principal forgiveness funds in a future year.

Emergency Procedures

For purposes of the SRF Program, an emergency refers to a natural disaster or manmade disaster that damages or disrupts normal public water system operations and requires immediate action to protect public health and safety. Upon issuance of an emergency declaration by a federal or state emergency response official, or upon a finding by ADEC, funds may be made available for projects not currently described in an IUP. Bypass procedures may be waived under direct threat of severe public or environmental harm. Reasonable efforts to fund projects in priority order will still be followed under emergency situations.

Removing Projects from the Project Priority List

Projects on the PPL will be monitored to ensure that applicants are proceeding with their projects in a timely fashion. A project may remain on the PPL for a maximum of two years. Projects will retain the same score originally assigned unless a revised questionnaire is submitted and reviewed by the project scoring committee or the scoring criteria is revised. If an application has not been submitted for a project within two years of the questionnaire submittal, the project will be removed from the list and a new questionnaire will be required to relist the project.

Amendments to Existing Loans

A borrower may request an amendment to an existing loan agreement to modify the project scope, increase the loan amount, or both. Amendments that solely increase the loan amount by no more than 10% of the original loan amount, up to \$100,000, may be completed through an informal request for a loan amendment with the SRF Program Manager's approval. Similarly,

minor scope changes that do not affect the location or purpose of the originally proposed project may also proceed with an informal request for a loan amendment with the SRF Program Manager's approval. Amendments that will increase the loan amount by more than 10% of the original loan, or more than \$100,000, and/or include scope modifications that affect the footprint or purpose of the project, are required to be public noticed in an update to the PPL before the loan amendment is issued.

FINANCIAL STATUS

Sources and Uses of Funds

Alaska's allotments from the FFY22, FFY23 and FFY24 federal appropriations for CWSRF Emerging Contaminants are listed below. No state match is required for these allotments.

The amount available for Emerging Contaminant loans is the difference between the federal funds received and total program commitments. At the time of preparation of this IUP, one Emerging Contaminant loan agreement was in process; therefore, it is listed as a pending loan agreement in the table below. The PPL includes over \$9 million in demand for these loan funds. Assuming that borrowers move forward with loan applications, it is anticipated that the available Emerging Contaminants funds will be fully committed in SFY25.

Table 1: Estimated Available Funding

Sources of Emerging Contaminant Funds	
Federal Grant FFY22	\$559,000
Federal Grant FFY23	\$1,273,000
Federal Grant FFY24	\$1,273,000
State Match for FFY22-24 Grants	\$0
Total Sources of Funds	\$3,105,000
Uses of Emerging Contaminant Funds	
Estimated Funds to be transferred from the CWSRF	\$0
Emerging Contaminant Allowances from the FFY22-24 Grants	\$0
Pending Loan Agreements	\$559,000
Total Uses of Funds	\$559,000
Funds Available for Emerging Contaminant Loans	\$2,546,000
Loan Requests on PPL	\$9,341,000

Program and Non-Program Income

In SFY25, program income is estimated to total \$12,730 (0.5% of the FFY23 and FFY24 capitalization grant awards totaling \$2,546,000). Program income is defined at 40 CFR 31.25(b) as "gross income received by the grantee or subgrantee directly generated by a grant supported activity or earned only as a result of the grant agreement during the grant period."

Non-program income is estimated based on the difference between total anticipated deposits to the ACWF Fee Account less the program income. Since the Emerging Contaminants funding will be issued with 100% loan forgiveness, there will be no repayments deposited to the Fee Account.

Fund Transfer

The SRF Program is allowed to transfer funds between the CWSRF Emerging Contaminants Grant and the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) Emerging Contaminants Grant in order to assure adequate capacity to meet demands. A fund transfer has not been requested in SFY25. However, in accordance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Section 302 fund transfer provisions, ADEC hereby reserves the authority "to transfer an amount up to 33% of the DWSRF program capitalization grant to the CWSRF program or an equivalent amount from the CWSRF program to the DWSRF program."

Technical Assistance Allowance

The CWA allows states to set aside up to 2% of each capitalization grant to fund technical assistance services to rural, small, and tribal publicly owned treatment works. For the FFY23 and FFY24 allotments, Alaska plans to reserve the authority to use 2% (\$50,920) of its expected capitalization grant amount for future technical assistance activities. This authority will be reserved either from a future federal capitalization grant or from the non-federal ACWF loan fund.

Administration Allowance

The CWA allows each state to use an amount equal to 4% of its capitalization grant to fund the administration of the CWSRF program. Alaska plans to reserve the authority to use 4% of its expected capitalization grant amount (\$101,840) for future program management, including funding staff, paying operational expenses and providing technical assistance to potential loan applicants. This authority will be reserved either from a future federal capitalization grant or from the non-federal ACWF loan fund.

Table 2: Reserved Use of Technical Assistance and Administration Allowances

CWSRF Allowance Activity	Reserved FFY22	Reserved FFY23-24	Total Reserved
Small Systems Technical Assistance (2%)	\$11,180	\$50,920	\$62,100
Administration (4%)	\$22,360	\$101,840	\$124,200

Administrative Fee

Financing through the Emerging Contaminants funding source will be offered as loans with 100% principal forgiveness. An administrative fee will be assessed in the amount of 0.5% of the total dollars disbursed as prescribed in Title 18, Chapter 76 of Alaska Administrative Code (18 AAC 76). Fee revenue is kept in the ACWF Fee Account, separate from the regular loan fund, and is used exclusively to pay program administrative costs.

Loan Terms and Finance Rates for Eligible Projects

If the proposed project includes components that do not pertain to emerging contaminants, or if additional financing is requested in excess of funding available through the Emerging Contaminants funding source, the borrower may request additional loan funds for CWSRF eligible project activities. The additional loan funds would be subject to repayment according to the loan terms and finance rates applicable to the SRF Program.

Table 3: Finance Rates (effective September 10, 2017)

Loan Term	Finance Rate for any Bond Rate* Less than 4 Percent	Finance Rate for Bond Rate* Greater than 4 Percent
20-30 Years	2	$2 + (0.75 \times [\text{Bond Rate}^* - 4])$
5-20 Years	1.5	$1.5 + (0.625 \times [\text{Bond Rate}^* - 4])$
0-5 Years	1	$1 + (0.5 \times [\text{Bond Rate}^* - 4])$
<1 Year	0.5	0.5

*Bond Buyer's Municipal Bond Index Current Day – Yield to Maturity

FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

Loan agreements will include all applicable federal requirements. The following federal requirements are required of all CWSRF Emerging Contaminants funding recipients:

Build America, Buy America Act

The Build America, Buy America (BABA) provision that was included in the BIL requires domestic preference procurement for iron and steel products, manufactured products, and construction materials.

American Iron and Steel

The American Iron and Steel (AIS) provision requires SRF assistance recipients to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States. This requirement applies to projects for the construction, alteration, maintenance or repair of a public water system. Compliance with BABA iron and steel provisions will satisfy the AIS requirements.

Davis-Bacon Act Wage Requirements

ADEC requires the inclusion of specific Davis-Bacon contract language in bid specifications and/or contracts and confirms that the correct wage determinations are being utilized. In addition, ADEC collects certifications of Davis-Bacon compliance from online project quarterly report statements.

Environmental Review

All proposed construction activities funded by the SRF Program undergo an environmental review in conformance with the EPA-approved State Environmental Review Process.

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise

Loan recipients and their contractors must comply with the federal Disadvantaged Business Enterprise requirements.

Signage to Enhance Public Awareness

The BIL signage term and condition requires a physical sign displaying the official Building a Better America emblem and EPA logo be placed at construction sites for BIL-funded projects. This requirement applies to all construction projects funded through the BIL Emerging Contaminants grant. The EPA [Investing in America Signage](https://www.epa.gov/invest/investing-america-signage) website (<https://www.epa.gov/invest/investing-america-signage>) provides more information about how to comply with the signage requirement.

Architectural/Engineering Procurement

Borrowers requesting financing for Architectural/Engineering (A/E) services must procure A/E services in accordance with certain qualifications-based requirements. A/E services may include, but are not limited to, contracts for program management, construction management, feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, design, engineering, surveying, and mapping.

Single Audit

Borrowers who have received federal funds through ADEC's SRF Program may be subject to the requirements of the Single Audit Act and 2 CFR 200.

Fiscal Sustainability Plan

Each CWSRF treatment works project must certify that a Fiscal Sustainability Plan has been developed and is being implemented for the project or certify that a Fiscal Sustainability Plan will be developed and implemented for the project.

ASSURANCES AND CERTIFICATIONS

The Operating Agreement, as well as each capitalization grant, contain conditions that must be met. ADEC is committed to complying with all conditions in both the Operating Agreement and each capitalization grant.

Expeditious and Timely Expenditure

The State will commit and spend the capitalization grant in a timely and expeditious manner. Within one year of the grant award, the State will enter binding commitments with the recipients equal to the amount of available funds.

The funds may be used for activities during more than one state fiscal year. To keep unliquidated obligations at a minimum, the State will fully expend the capitalization grant within a two-year period.

Fund Accounting Separation

The ACWF was established by statute as an enterprise fund of the State to serve as a revolving fund for financing wastewater system improvement projects. Funds allocated for other activities

authorized in the CWA are held in separate accounts; therefore, loan fund activities and other allowed activities are distinct and separate.

Federal Reporting

EPA's SRF Data System (previously identified as the Clean Water Benefits Reporting (CBR) database) collects project level information and anticipated environmental benefits associated with CWSRF projects. This system is also used to collect annual financial information which was formerly collected through the National Information Management System (NIMS). This annual information submittal is used to produce annual reports that provide a record of progress and accountability for the Program. EPA uses the information provided to oversee the CWSRF state programs and develop reports to the U.S. Congress concerning activities funded by the CWSRF Program. ADEC commits to entering benefits information on all projects into the SRF Data System by the end of the quarter in which the assistance agreement is signed. ADEC also commits to entering all program information into the SRF Data System on an annual basis as EPA requests.

Federal Funding Accountability Transparency Act

ADEC will use the Federal Funding Accountability Transparency Act (FFATA) reporting system to report all SRF Program Emerging Contaminant projects. The anticipated capitalization grant that will be associated with each loan for FFATA reporting is listed below. Information will be reported no later than the end of the month following the date of a finalized loan agreement.

Table 4: FFATA Reporting

Community	Project Name	Loan Request	FFATA Reporting Cap Grant Year		
			FFY22	FFY23	FFY24
Fairbanks	Biosolids Thermal Remediation	\$1,000,000	X	X	---
North Pole	PFAS Remediation	\$150,000		X	
Juneau	PFAS Pyrolysis	\$8,750,000		X	X

PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENTS

The draft IUP will be posted on the SRF Program website for a 30-day public comment period. A notice of the draft IUP will be emailed directly to municipalities and other stakeholders, including potential SRF borrowers, located throughout the state. The notice of public comment will also be posted on the ADEC Public Notice website. This website is the official location for all active ADEC comment periods. Information about the comment period will also be provided to other stakeholders and funding partners including the U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Development and the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium as well as to the Alaska Municipal League and the Alaska Water and Wastewater Management Association for distribution to their members.

Appendix 1

Priority Criteria for SFY25 CWSRF Emerging Contaminants Projects



Alaska Clean Water State Revolving Fund

Priority Criteria for Point Source Project – Reference Sheet

PUBLIC HEALTH CONSIDERATIONS <i>(Select only one)</i>		POINTS
<p>This project will correct the cause of a human disease event documented by ADEC or a recognized public health organization. Documentation required.</p> <p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outbreaks of Hepatitis, Giardiasis or Cryptosporidiosis. Upgrading facilities to meet new EPA/ADEC regulations or resolve violation(s) of a wastewater permit with short term compliance deadline (≤ 1 year). Installation of new sewer mains in an area where there is documented well contamination resulting from sewer main leaks. 		100
<p>This project will correct conditions severe enough that a disease event may occur, although an event may have not yet been reported.</p> <p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Violations of a wastewater permit with longer term compliance deadlines (> 1 year). Documented failure of on-site disposal systems. Correction of documented Inflow and Infiltration issues that prevent the WWTP from meeting permit limits. Construction to address documented surface water contamination violation. 		75
<p>This project will minimize public health threats where the potential for a disease event exists.</p> <p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Correction of documented issues with a high potential to violate a wastewater permit condition or ADEC design criteria. Replacement of pipes or facilities with documented leaks or constructed of inferior materials (example – asbestos cement pipe, structurally impaired lift station wet well). Improvements to a collection system prone to freeze-up. Installation of new sewer mains to an area that is currently served by on-site systems and has a high potential of regulated contaminants exceeding safe standards. 		50
<p>This project will minimize potential future public health problems. There is no current threat of a disease event.</p> <p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replacement of collection system components that are at end of life, but no documentation of significant failure. Wastewater Treatment Facility upgrades to increase capacity and/or replace obsolete equipment that is not related to a permit violation correction. Improve system security, such as fencing, remote monitoring, access cards, etc. SCADA upgrades, backup power to a critical system component. 		25
<p>This project will not address any significant health related issues.</p> <p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sewer main alignment changes (rerouting mains that have little to no improvement on operation). Sewer main expansion for future development. Wastewater treatment plant or collection system studies, unless required by compliance conditions. Master plans, backup power to a tangential facility. 		0
WATER QUALITY CONSIDERATIONS <i>(Select only one)</i>		
PROTECTION OF UNIMPAIRED WATERBODY		
The goal of the proposed project is prevention of water pollution in an unimpaired waterbody (Category 2 or Category 3) as reported in the Integrated Report (https://dec.alaska.gov/water/water-quality/).		35
This project does not prevent water pollution in an unimpaired waterway.		0
RESTORATION OF IMPAIRED OR POLLUTED WATER BODY <i>(Select only one)</i>		
The goal of the proposed project is to reduce pollution/improve water quality in a waterbody identified as impaired or polluted (Category 4 or Category 5) in the Integrated Report (https://dec.alaska.gov/water/water-quality/).		
This project will reduce pollution specifically related to the impairment.		35
This project will reduce pollution to the waterbody that may not be specifically related to impairment.		25
This project will minimize the potential for future pollution event.		10
This project has minimal impact on future pollution event.		0
RECEIVING WATERS		
This project addresses the following adverse impacts to receiving waters: <i>(Select only one)</i>		
Direct impacts to surface water or groundwater.		10
Direct impacts to marine waters or estuaries.		5
Indirect impacts to surface water or groundwater.		5
This project will not address adverse impacts to receiving waters.		0
ADMINISTRATIVE		POINTS
PROJECT READINESS <i>(Select only one)</i>		
Engineering plans and specifications have been approved by the ADEC Engineering Support and Plan Review (ESPR) Program in		50

Priority Criteria for Point Source Projects

addition to having an approved environmental review. Documentation is required for both.			
Engineering plans and specifications have been approved by the ADEC ESPR Program. Documentation required.			40
Substantial engineering plans and specification (at least 65% complete) have been prepared. Documentation required.			30
A feasibility study, facility plan and/or set of engineering plans and specifications (at least 35% complete) has been prepared and are attached. Documentation required.			20
An up-to-date comprehensive study, master plan, a current project cost estimate, and/or approved environmental review has been prepared and is attached. Documentation required.			10
No project development has been accomplished.			0
ASSET MANAGEMENT <i>(Select only one)</i>			
An asset management plan that incorporates an inventory of all assets, an assessment of the criticality and condition of the assets, a prioritization of capital projects needed, and a budget, has been adopted and implemented within the past 5 years. Documentation is required.			30
An asset inventory has been prepared and are attached. The asset inventory must meet the requirements as outlined in the SRF Asset Inventory Guidance (https://dec.alaska.gov/media/ntcj1ess/srf-asset-inventory-guidance.pdf). Documentation is required.			20
An asset management plan will be prepared or updated as part of the proposed project. Completed plan to be provided to SRF.			15
An asset inventory will be prepared as part of the proposed project. Completed inventory to be provided to SRF.			10
Employees have attended an asset management training, approved by ADEC Operator Training and Certification Program for Continuing Education Units (CEUs), within the last year. Documentation is required.			5
The system has not planned, developed, or implemented an asset management plan or inventory, and staff have not attended asset management training.			0
FUNDING COORDINATION <i>(Select only one)</i>			
This loan will be used to match other state or federal funds, or this project will be coordinated with another municipal/state/federally funded project (e.g. DOT road construction). Documentation is required to identify each funding source.			15
Other funding sources have not been identified.			0
SUSTAINABILITY PROJECTS <i>(Select only one)</i>			
Fix it First Projects – These are projects currently located in an established area which is still suitable for use and should be encouraged over project in undeveloped areas. The repair, replacement, and upgrade of infrastructure in these types of areas are encouraged.			50
Effective Utility Management – Plans, studies and projects that improve the technical, managerial, and financial capacity of assistance recipients to operate, maintain and upgrade their infrastructure. Improved stewardship of the existing infrastructure will help improve sustainability and extend the useful life of the system.			25
Planning – Preliminary planning, development of alternatives, and capital projects that reflect the full life cycle cost of infrastructure, conserve natural resources or use alternative approaches to integrate natural systems in the built environment.			25
Not applicable.			0
OPERATOR CERTIFICATION <i>(Select only one)</i>			
The system employs, or has on contract, an operator certified to the level of the system.			5
The system does not employ, or have on contract, an operator certified to the level of the system.			0
AFFORDABILITY CRITERIA <i>(Select only one)</i>		Monthly Wastewater Cost/Monthly Income	
	High	>2%	15
	Medium	1.0% - 1.9%	10
	Low	<1.0%	5

To Be Completed by ADEC

EQUIVALENCY			
This project will be used as an equivalency project.			50
GREEN PROJECTS			
The applicant has sufficiently demonstrated eligible Green components under the project.			25



Alaska Clean Water State Revolving Fund

Priority Criteria for Emerging Contaminant Projects – Reference Sheet

Projects to address Emerging Contaminants will be ranked by the rating system set forth below, in addition to the standard Clean Water SRF project scoring criteria. The Alaska State Revolving Fund Program is prioritizing projects that address perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), but will consider projects to address other emerging contaminants.

SCORING CATEGORY	POINTS	MAX POINTS
Treated Effluent PFAS Concentration – Point Source Projects only (Select only one)		
If the proposed project addresses emerging contaminants in treated effluent from a wastewater treatment facility, select the appropriate concentration in the treated effluent. Documentation of the PFAS concentration is required. A map of the Source Water Protection Area is also required for indicated categories.		
Concentration ≥ 70 parts per trillion (ppt)	25	25
Concentration 20 - 69 ppt and point of discharge is within Zone A of Public Water System’s (PWS) Source Water Protection Area (SWPA)	20	
Concentration 20 - 69 ppt and point of discharge is within Zone B of a PWS SWPA	15	
Concentration 20 - 69 ppt and point of discharge is not within Zone A or B of a PWS SWPA	10	
Concentration 4 - 19 ppt and point of discharge is not within Zone A or B of a PWS SWPA	5	
Daily Discharge Volume – Point Source Projects only (Select only one)		
If the proposed project addresses emerging contaminants in effluent from a wastewater treatment facility, select the appropriate discharge volume.		
Discharge ≥ 250,000 gallons per day (gpd)	10	10
Discharge 5,000 - 249,999 gpd	8	
Discharge < 4,999 gpd	6	
Groundwater or Surface Water PFAS Concentration – Nonpoint Source Projects only (Select only one)		
If the proposed project addresses emerging contaminants in groundwater, storm water, and/or surface water, select the appropriate concentration. Documentation of the PFAS concentration is required.		
Concentration ≥ 70 ppt	15	15
Concentration 20 – 69 ppt	10	
Concentration 4 – 19 ppt	5	
TOTAL		50

For a project to be eligible for Emerging Contaminants funding, the primary purpose must be to address emerging contaminants in wastewater effluent, groundwater, or surface water. Emerging contaminants refer to substances and microorganisms, including manufactured or naturally occurring physical, chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear materials, which are known or anticipated in the environment, that may pose newly identified or re-emerging risks to human health, aquatic life, or the environment.

Projects that address one or more of the following five areas of emerging contaminants are eligible for Emerging Contaminants funding through the Alaska Clean Water Fund.

1. PFAS and other persistent organic pollutants (POPs).
Priority points are given to projects that address PFAS.
2. Biological contaminants and microorganisms
3. Some compounds of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs)
4. Nanomaterials
5. Microplastics/Nanoplastics

Questions about the eligibility of your project to receive Emerging Contaminant funding may be sent to dec.srfprogram@alaska.gov.

Appendix 2

SFY25 CWSRF Emerging Contaminants Project Priority List

Alaska Clean Water Fund - State Fiscal Year 2025 (SFY25)

Emerging Contaminants

The total available funding through the SRF Emerging Contaminants funding source is \$3,105,000 (Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 - 2024 Grants). Available funding is offered as 100% principal forgiveness loan.

Rank	Score	APDES Permit Number	Applicant	Project Name and Description <i>Funding Notes</i>	Requested Loan Amount	FUNDING SOURCE BIL Emerging Contaminant FFY22 Grant	FUNDING SOURCE BIL Emerging Contaminant FFY23-24 Grants	Estimated Project Start Date	Added to PPL
1	60	AK2110342	City and Borough of Juneau	Pyrolysis of Per- and Polyfluorinated Substances (PFAS)-Impacted Biosolids - Add a pyrolysis thermal treatment at the Mendenhall Wastewater Treatment Plant to treat biosolids to avoid shipping PFAS-impacted biosolids out-of-state for disposal. In addition, this project proposes improvements to the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition Industrial Control System. <i>Available funding through the Emerging Contaminant funding source for this project totals \$2,559,000. The remaining loan request can be funded through the Alaska Clean Water Fund. The project is also listed on the Base/Bipartisan Infrastructure Law General Supplemental SFY25 Project Priority List for funding of \$6,191,000 of project costs.</i>	\$8,750,000	----	\$2,514,000	1/1/2025	SFY25-1
2	27	AK2310730	Fairbanks	Pilot Testing Bio Solids Thermal Remediation - PFAS concentrations in biosolids generated at the Golden Heart Utilities Wastewater Treatment Plant exceed regulatory cleanup levels. Biosolids are currently being composted and stored at the WWTP with limited space for stockpiling. This project would fund a pilot study to thermally treat wastewater biosolids, destroy PFAS, and recover energy for beneficial re-use.	\$1,000,000	\$559,000	\$441,000	6/3/2024	SFY24-1
3	17	NA	North Pole	Emerging Contaminant Mitigation - This project will fund preliminary planning and design efforts associated with PFAS remediation at and near the City of North Pole Fire Department property.	\$150,000	----	\$150,000	1/2/2024	SFY24-3
TOTAL						\$559,000	\$3,105,000		

Appendix 3

Disadvantaged Community Criteria

In Alaska, state regulations limit the distribution of funds to borrowers who meet the state definition of need for the Alaska Clean Water Fund (Alaska Administrative Code 7 AAC 70.03). The department may provide a subsidy forgiveness...if the applicant demonstrates that the Alaska Drinking Water Fund helps a disadvantaged system in the form of principal

Additional Subsidy – Base Capitalization Grants

DWSRF Additional SDWA may dates that states use than 35% of a capitalization grant to provide additional defined disadvantage communities. Disadvantaged is provided by a below market rate subsidized loan in the form of principal forgiveness.

In addition to the additional Congressional mandated subsidization requirements. The FFY2024 Fiscal Year, 2025 the Congressionally mandated subsidization grant with no specific eligibility are additive, meaning that 9% of FFY2024 capitalization grant is added to the 9% of FFY2024 capitalization grant. All disadvantaged communities are eligible to receive the additional 9% of FFY2024 capitalization grant.

CWSRF Additional SDWA may dates that states use 30% of a capitalization grant to provide additional

- municipalities that meet the state's affordable housing goals;
- municipalities that do not meet the state's affordable housing goals; and
- entities that implement a process, material or energy efficiency goals; mitigates stormwater management, design, and construction.

The Congressionally mandated FFY2024 capitalization grant with no specific eligibility requirements. As a result, meaning that the state is obligated to use 9% of the FFY2024 capitalization grant as additional

Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL)

A key priority of the BIL is to ensure that disadvantaged communities receive additional investment in water infrastructure. Disadvantaged communities are defined as communities that experience, or are at risk of experiencing, disproportionately high and adverse effects from climate change, whether in air, land, or water.

The BIL may be used to provide through the DWSRF Funding and the DWSRF Lead Service Line Replacement Program, forgivable loans to disadvantaged communities provided through the DWSRF Emerging Contaminant Program, forgivable loans to disadvantaged communities with 25,000 people.

For the CWSRF, the amount of funds available through the Supplemental Funding be provided as grants and state's affordability criteria or certain projects. To accomplish this Environmental Health Protection Agency needs to:

- Evaluate and revise, as needed, the DWSRF CWSRF affordability criteria.
- Evaluate the SRF priority point system for
- Use technical assistance funding to help and access funding.
- Engage residents and community stakeholders

Criteria for Defining Disadvantaged Communities

Disadvantaged community status is determined by the following socioeconomic factors: income, education, employment, and housing. Each factor as noted below.

Household Burden

The Household Burden indicator focuses on households that are in the lowest 20% of utility service. It is an economic measure that groups a community's households into quintiles representing 20% of the population.

Upper income quintile (Q-I) income quintiles group a community's income data into five equal parts. Each quintile represents 20% of the population.

If the LQI is greater than the state median LQI
If the LQI is less than the state median LQI
If the LQI is less than 80% of the state median LQI

Cost of service per connection (CSC) is a measure of the burden on low-income households. It is calculated by dividing the total cost of service for water and wastewater by the number of connections. It is used to provide an indicator of the burden on low-income households.

If the Cost of Service / LQI is less than 4%
If the Cost of Service / LQI is greater than 4%
If the Cost of Service / LQI is greater than 6%

Socioeconomic Factors

Socioeconomic factors are used to measure the economic stress in a community. They include income, education, employment, and housing. These factors are used to identify areas of economic stress and to develop strategies to address them.

assistance, the percentage of households below the poverty level is determined by the U. S. Census Bureau.

Percentage of households receiving SNAP benefits relative to the statewide average

If the % of households receiving SNAP benefits is less than the statewide average, the community is eligible for assistance.
If the % of households receiving SNAP benefits is greater than the statewide average, the community is not eligible for assistance.
If the % of households receiving SNAP benefits is 150% or more of the statewide average, the community is not eligible for assistance.

Percentage of households below the poverty level is determined by the U. S. Census Bureau.

If the % of households below the poverty level is less than the statewide average, the community is eligible for assistance.
If the % of households below the poverty level is greater than the statewide average, the community is not eligible for assistance.
If the % of households below the poverty level is 150% or more of the statewide average, the community is not eligible for assistance.

Unemployment rate for the borough or census tract for the year are averaged and compared to the statewide average.

If the unemployment rate is less than the statewide average, the community is eligible for assistance.
If the unemployment rate is greater than the statewide average, the community is not eligible for assistance.
If the unemployment rate is 150% or more of the statewide average, the community is not eligible for assistance.

Population change from the previous decade is determined by the U. S. Census Bureau.

If the community population increase is 20% or more, the community is eligible for assistance.
If the community population change is between 10% and 20%, the community is not eligible for assistance.
If the community population change is less than 10%, the community is not eligible for assistance.

Rural Communities

Rural community was defined in the previous section. The definition is used for a rural community:

- (1A) community that is eligible for assistance
- (2A) community that meets each of the following:
 - (a) is not located in an area of high population density according to the U. S. Office of Management and Budget
 - (b) is at least 300 road miles from a Metropolitan Statistical Area
 - (c) has a population that exceeds 25 but is less than 50,000

Rural community status	2 point
------------------------	---------

Priority Projects

Eligibility criteria for projects will be as follows, based on the project's alignment with the priorities listed below, as noted.

Priority Project Type	Points
Project will result in completion of a Lead Service Line Inventory or replace known lead service lines	6
Project will address an emerging contaminant as defined in the BIL	6
Project will resolve a health-based violation of the SDWA	6
Project will install domestic wastewater treatment to meet the minimum treatment requirements of 18 AAC 72.050	6
Project will result in consolidation of two or more public water systems or wastewater systems	6
A water distribution system will be expanded to provide service to replace private sources that exceed the MCL for a primary drinking water contaminant.	6
A wastewater collection system will be expanded to provide service to individual services that use on-site wastewater	6
Project will improve the water quality of an impaired water body	5
Project will result in development of an Asset Management Plan	4

Data Sources

Data sources for the information included in indicators are listed below:

Category / Metric	Source
Income and Poverty	
Lowest quintile income	American Community Survey
% below poverty level	American Community Survey
% Public Assistance/SNAP	American Community Survey
Labor Force	
Unemployment rate of borough/census area	Alaska Department of Labor
Demographics	
Population Trend	Decennial Census

Disadvantaged Community - Tiers

Each loan applicant will be assigned a base score for the community. Depending on the project, additional points may be assigned to specific priority projects. Based on the total score, a project will be assigned to a tier of loan forgiveness. To the extent that additional communities may receive capital funding based on a project, the table below.

Tier	Point Range	Maximum Loan Forgiveness per Community/System	
		Clean Water Projects	Drinking Water Projects
Tier 1	0 to 3	Not applicable	Not applicable
Tier 2	4 to 6	\$500,000	\$1,500,000
Tier 3	7 to 10	\$1,000,000	\$2,500,000
Tier 4	10+	\$2,000,000	\$3,500,000

Disadvantaged Communities – Base Scores and Tiers

The table below shows the Household Burden and disadvantaged communities throughout the state. The community present in the table expressed an interest in participating in the program.

The base score in this table combines the Household Burden and disadvantaged community tier in this table. The community proposes a “priority project” as determined by the project.

Community	Household Burden Score (1)	Socioeconomic Factors Score (2)	Rural Community (3)	Base Score (1)+(2)+(3)	Base Score Tier
Anchorage	0	0	0	0	Tier 1
Bethel	2	5	2	9	Tier 3
Cordova	0	2	2	4	Tier 2
Craig	1	5	2	8	Tier 3
Dillingham	1	4	2	7	Tier 3
Fairbanks	1	1	0	2	Tier 1
Gustavus	1	5	2	8	Tier 3
Haines	3	3	2	8	Tier 3
Homer	2	2	0	4	Tier 2
Hoonah	1	6	2	9	Tier 3
Juneau	0	0	0	0	Tier 1
Kenai	3	3	0	6	Tier 2
Ketchikan	3	2	0	5	Tier 2
King Cove	1	4	2	7	Tier 3
King Salmon	0	2	2	4	Tier 2
Kodiak	2	4	0	6	Tier 2
Kotzebue	1	4	2	7	Tier 3
Naknek	1	2	2	5	Tier 2
Nome	0	3	2	5	Tier 2
North Pole	0	0	0	0	Tier 1
Palmer	1	4	0	5	Tier 2
Petersburg	1	2	2	5	Tier 2
Sand Point	2	3	2	7	Tier 3
Seldovia	0	2	2	4	Tier 2
Seward	3	2	0	5	Tier 2
Sitka	0	0	0	0	Tier 1
Skagway	0	4	2	6	Tier 2
Soldotna	3	4	0	7	Tier 3
St. Paul	3	2	2	7	Tier 3
Talkeetna	3	5	0	8	Tier 3
Togiak	3	6	2	11	Tier 4
Unalakleet	3	6	2	11	Tier 4
Unalaska	0	0	2	2	Tier 1
Utqiagvik	1	3	2	6	Tier 2
Valdez	1	1	0	2	Tier 1
Wasilla	3	7	0	10	Tier 4
Whittier	3	6	0	9	Tier 3
Wrangell	2	3	2	7	Tier 3
Yakutat	0	1	2	3	Tier 1

